

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,457	11/02/2001	J. Michael Weaver	KNA-0012	9579
23413 75	590 01/10/2003	·		
	LBURN, LLP		EXAMINER	
55 GRIFFIN RO BLOOMFIELD			TRAN, L	OUIS B
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
DAT		DATE MAILED: 01/10/2003	l .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, we	-						
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/005,457	WEAVER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Louis B Tran	3721				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE MAILING DATE (- Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from a lift the period for reply specifies if NO period for reply is specifies. Failure to reply within the set	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 the mailing date of this communication. It days a replified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replified above, the maximum statutory period to or extended period for reply will, by statute ice later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dawill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONI grate of this communication, even if timely file	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to	communication(s) filed on <u>02 I</u>	<u>November 2001</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is F	INAL. 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-73</u> is	s/are pending in the application	١.					
4a) Of the above	claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-73 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
	d (PTO-892) atent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-32, drawn to a packaging apparatus with a specific movable conveyor, classified in class 53, subclass 282.
 - II. Claims 33-48, 51-57 drawn to an apparatus with a specific grid section adjacent to an infeed and a plurality of lanes and a spacing mechanism, classified in class 53, subclass 266.1.
 - III. Claims 49-50, drawn to an apparatus with a specific means for feeding product classified in class 53, subclass 251.
 - IV. Claims 58-63, drawn to an apparatus with a specific electronic controller and sensor, classified in class 53, subclass 52.
 - V. Claims 64-73, drawn to a method, classified in class 53, subclass 443.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I-IV and V are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus requires a specific support device and the

Art Unit: 3721

method can be practiced on a materially different apparatus without the specific support device.

Page 3

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention II does not require a specific movable conveyor. The subcombination has separate utility such as use with a packaging machine that would require a specific movable conveyor.
- 5. Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention III does not require a specific movable conveyor. The subcombination has separate utility such as use with a packaging machine that would require a specific movable conveyor.

Art Unit: 3721

Page 4

- 6. Inventions IV and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention IV does not require a specific movable conveyor. The subcombination has separate utility such as use with a packaging machine that would require a specific movable conveyor.
- 7. Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention III does not require a plurality of lanes. The subcombination has separate utility such as use with a packaging machine where a plurality of lanes is needed.
- 8. Inventions II and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention II does not require a

Art Unit: 3721

specific electronic controller and sensor. The subcombination has separate utility such as use with a packaging machine where a specific electronic controller and sensor are needed.

Page 5

- 9. Inventions III and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention III does not require a specific electronic controller and sensor. The subcombination has separate utility such as use with a packaging machine where a specific electronic controller and sensor are required.
- 10. A telephone call was made to Lisa Bongiovi on 12/30/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis B Tran whose telephone number is 703-305-0611. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-6PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Ibt January 2, 2003 Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700